The Town of Plymouth, Massachusetts
Public Records Access Guidelines
As of July 7, 2020
Created by Connor Anderson, Records Access Officer for the Town of Plymouth. A copy of these guidelines can be provided by the Records Access Officer upon request.

Effective January 1, 2017, the Massachusetts Public Records Law, G.L. c.66 and c.4, §7(26) provides that a municipality must, within 10 business days (Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays), respond to a request for records by providing access to or a copy of such records, or explaining any delay or denial. These guidelines are intended to assist members of the public seeking access to public records in the custody of the Town of Plymouth.

General Information:

1. **Business Hours** - The regular business hours of Town Hall are:

   Monday, Wednesday, Thursday 7:30 AM—4:00 PM.
   
   Tuesday 7:30 AM—6:30 PM
   
   Friday 7:30 AM—12:00 PM

2. **Records Access Officer (RAO)** – All Public Records Requests should be delivered to the Records Access Officer for the Town of Plymouth, Connor Anderson.

Connor Anderson,
Archivist/Public Records Access Officer
26 Court St., Plymouth, MA 02360
Phone: (508) 747-1620 x10213
Email: canderson@townhall.plymouth.ma.us

Public Records Law Information:

General information about the public records law and public records requests is found in the Secretary of the Commonwealth’s, “A Guide to the Massachusetts Public Records Law,” January 2017 edition, found online at: [www.sec.state.ma.us/pre/prepdf/guide.pdf](http://www.sec.state.ma.us/pre/prepdf/guide.pdf)

Please note: For any requests or questions concerning Vital Records (Births, Deaths, and Marriages), please contact the Town Clerk’s Office at (508) 747-1620 x10170. Alternatively, please visit the following webpage: [https://www.plymouth-ma.gov/town-clerk/pages/vital-records](https://www.plymouth-ma.gov/town-clerk/pages/vital-records)
Public Records Requests:

1. Making Public Records Requests - Any person may make a public records request:
   a) via the Town’s FOIADirect site, found on the town’s website (preferred)
   b) via first class mail addressed to the RAO at the RAO’s business address set forth above
   c) via e-mail addressed to the RAO at the e-mail address set forth above.
   d) In-person at 26 Court St., Plymouth, MA 02360

2. Requests Encouraged to be in writing - Although not required, it is strongly encouraged that public records requests be in writing to ensure the most efficient and accurate response. All written public records requests, including via email, shall be addressed/directed to the RAO, and contain the requester’s name and contact information, so that the RAO is able to provide the required response.

3. Contact Information - Individuals making requests in-person are not required to give their names or contact information. For in-person requests that require additional time for a comprehensive response, requesters will be advised to check in periodically with the RAO or department from which records are sought, or requesters may voluntarily provide contact information. Voluntary Public Records Request Forms shall be available in all municipal offices.

4. Specificity of Requests - To facilitate timely responses to public records requests, requests should be as specific as possible, detailing, if known, records custodian(s), and date and subject matter parameters. The more specific the request, the better able the TOWN will be to respond, as broad requests often require more extensive staff efforts to locate, review and copy all possibly responsive records.

5. Receipt of Requests - Written requests received during normal business hours, as defined in paragraph 1, above, will be considered received on that date. Written requests sent via email or facsimile after normal business hours shall not be considered received until the following business day. Business days shall not include Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays.

6. Purpose of Request - The RAO may ask for more information to assist the requester to make an appropriate request and/or to enable the RAO to respond more efficiently.

Responses to Public Records Requests:

1. Fees - If fees will be assessed, a written estimate of the same will be provided to the requester.

2. Response if Longer than 10 Days or Denial in Whole or in Part - If a full response, including provision of records, cannot be made within 10 business days of receipt of the request, the RAO or designee will respond to the requester in writing: explaining the anticipated time frame for complete response; identifying any records that the TOWN does not have in its
3. Clarification of Request - Depending upon the scope of the request, the requester may be asked to clarify the request, provide more specific detail, and/or agree to a voluntary extension of time for the TOWN to respond fully to the request.

4. Time for Response - Typically, a complete response will be provided within 25 business days of receipt of the requests. If, due to the scope of the request, the need for redactions, or other complications, the town is concerned that it will not be able to provide a complete response within that time frame, the town may ask the requester for an extension of time to comply or petition the Supervisor of Public Records for additional time.

5. Publicly Available Records - The town maintains a searchable website at https://www.plymouth-ma.gov where certain public records are available for inspection, downloading or printing. If a requester seeks documents publicly available on the Town’s website, the requester will be directed to the website in satisfaction of the request, unless the requester does not have the ability to receive or access the records in a usable electronic form. For more information about publicly available records, please contact the RAO or view the Municipal Records Retention Schedule on the Secretary of the Commonwealth’s website at this web page for a non-exhaustive list of records that may be kept by a municipality. A copy of this can be provided by the RAO upon request.

6. Electronic Records Delivery Preference - To the extent feasible, the RAO or designee will provide public records in response to a request by electronic means unless the record is not available electronically or the requester does not have the ability to receive or access the records electronically. To the extent available and feasible, the RAO will provide an electronic record in the requester’s preferred format.

7. Request for Records to be Mailed - Should a requester seek to have responsive records provided by mail, the requester will be charged the actual cost of postage, using the least expensive form of mailing possible, unless the requester requests, and agrees to pay for, an expedited form of mailing and such fees are paid in advance.

8. Creation of Records - The town is only required to provide records that are in existence at the time of a request and is not required to create a new record to accommodate a specific request.

9. Answering Questions - The town is not required to answer questions in response to a public records request.

10. Supplementing Responses - The town is not required to supplement its response to a previous public records request in the event that responsive records are created in the future.

11. Unique Right of Access - Pursuant to the provisions of 950 CMR 32.06(1)(g), if a requester or requester’s representative (such as an attorney), has “a unique right of access by statutory, regulatory, judicial or other applicable means”, a request for records will not be considered a G.L. c.66, §10 public records request.
Exemptions:

Exemptions/Redaction/Withholding - Some public records, or portions of records, may not be provided in response to a public records request because the TOWN has determined such records to be exempt from disclosure pursuant to the provisions of G.L. c.4, §7(26), the attorney-client privilege, or other applicable exemptions or common law privileges. For more information about exemptions to the Public Records Law, see Appendix A at the end of this document.

Fees:

1. Reasonable Fees - In some circumstances, the TOWN may assess a reasonable fee for the production of public records.

2. Categories of Permissible Charges - Permissible charges include, but are not limited to:
   a) five cents ($0.05) per page* of black and white printouts or copies;
   b) actual cost for storage devices or materials such as CDs or thumb/flash drives;
   c) actual cost for duplication of records not susceptible to ordinary means of reproduction, such as color copies and large format plans;
   d) postage fees (where applicable, as set forth above); and
   e) fees for employee time required to satisfy a public records request (as set forth below).

*No copying fee will be charged for records provided in electronic form.

3. Employee Time for Locating and Segregating Records - A fee may be charged for employee time necessary to identify, locate, and compile the records requested. A fee may also be charged for employee time necessary to review, and, as applicable, segregate and/or redact information exempt from public disclosure. The hourly rate for such fees shall be the hourly rate of the lowest paid employee capable of performing the task, provided, however, that this hourly rate shall not exceed twenty-five dollars ($25.00) per hour, unless the town has obtained the approval of the State Supervisor of Public Records to charge a higher hourly rate. Depending upon the nature of the request, different rates may be charged for different types. Due to the population size of Plymouth, the first two hours of work on a records request are free of charge.

5. Requests for Commercial Purposes - Said fee limitations may not apply when a request for records is for a commercial purpose as determined by the Commonwealth’s Supervisor of Records.

6. Petition for Higher Fee - In certain circumstances, the TOWN may petition the Supervisor of Public Records for permission to assess fees for employee time at a rate in excess of $25.00.

Appeals:

1. If a requester wishes to assert a claim that they have been denied access to public records, they may appeal the RAO’s determination to the Supervisor of Records pursuant to 950 CMR
32.08(1). The Supervisor shall make a final determination on the appeal within ten (10) business days of receipt.

2. If the requester is dissatisfied with the determination of the Supervisor of Records, the requester may appeal to Superior Court. Alternatively, a requester may bypass the Supervisor and go directly to Superior Court.

Appendix A

Exemptions to the Public Records Law

The statutory definition of “public records” contains exemptions providing the basis for withholding records completely or in part. The exemptions are strictly and narrowly construed. Where exempt information is intertwined with non-exempt information, the non-exempt portions are subject to disclosure once the exempt portions are deleted. A review of the appropriate applications of the exemptions follows.

Exemption (a) – The Statutory Exemption

Exemption (a) applies to records that are:

*specifically or by necessary implication exempted from disclosure by statute*

A government entity may use the statutory exemption as a basis for withholding requested materials where the exempting statute expressly states or necessarily implies that the public’s right to inspect records under the Public Records Law is restricted.

36 G.L. c. 66, § 6A(e).
37 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26).
39 G. L. c. 66, § 10(a); Reinstein v. Police Commissioner of Boston, 378 Mass. 281, 289-90 (1979) (the statutory exemptions are not blanket in nature).
40 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(a).
This exemption creates two categories of exempt records. The first category includes records that are specifically exempt from disclosure by statute. Such statutes expressly state that such a record either “shall not be a public record,” “shall be kept confidential” or “shall not be subject to the disclosure provision of the Public Records Law.”

The second category under the exemption includes records deemed exempt under statute by necessary implication. Such statutes expressly limit the dissemination of particular records to a defined group of individuals or entities. A statute is not a basis for exemption if it merely lists individuals or entities to whom the records are to be provided; the statute must expressly limit access to the listed individuals or entities.

For example: I seek a copy of an arrest report. May this report be withheld by the records custodian pursuant to Exemption (a) as Criminal Offender Record Information (C.O.R.I.)?

A record that is recorded as a result of the initiation of criminal proceedings or other consequent proceeding may be withheld under the C.O.R.I. statute. The Department of Criminal Justice Information Services, conferred with the authority to promulgate and interpret statutes and regulations regarding C.O.R.I., interprets the “initiation of criminal proceedings” to be “the point when a criminal investigation is sufficiently complete that the investigating officers take actions toward bringing a specific suspect to court.”

Please reference the Appendix of this Guide for other examples of statutes that specifically exempt records from disclosure.

Exemption (b)

Exemption (b) applies to records that are:

related solely to internal personnel rules and practices of the government unit, provided however, that such records shall be withheld only to the extent that proper performance of necessary governmental functions requires such withholding.

---

42 See, e.g., G. L. c. 41, § 97D (all reports of rape or sexual assault “shall not be public reports”).
43 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(a).
44 See, e.g., G. L. c. 6, § 172 (“Criminal offender record information ... shall only be disseminated to: criminal justice agencies...”).
45 See 803 C.M.R. 7 (C.O.R.I. may be released at the discretion of law enforcement if disclosure aids investigative efforts).
46 G. L. c. 6, § 168.
47 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(b).
There are no authoritative Massachusetts decisions interpreting Exemption (b). The general purpose of the cognate federal exemption, however, is to relieve agencies of the burden of assembling and maintaining for public inspection matters in which the public cannot reasonably be expected to have a legitimate interest.\(^{48}\)

The language of the federal provision is duplicated in the first clause of Exemption (b). The addition of the qualifying second clause of Exemption (b) evidences a legislative intent to create an exemption that is narrower in scope than the previously enacted, parallel federal exemption.\(^{49}\)

For Exemption (b) to apply in Massachusetts, a records custodian must demonstrate not only that the records relate solely to the internal personnel practices of the government entity, but also that proper performance of necessary government functions will be inhibited by disclosure.

**For example:** Are all Department of Correction (DOC) security policies and procedures public?

One of the DOC’s primary functions is to maintain secure penal institutions. Information regarding the procedures used by correctional officers during law enforcement efforts relates solely to the internal workings of the DOC. Moreover, disclosure of this information could prove detrimental to the DOC’s law enforcement efforts, as knowledge of the DOC’s security response procedures could enable an inmate to circumvent such procedures. Accordingly, Exemption (b) will allow the DOC to withhold portions of the requested policies.

**Exemption (c) – The Privacy Exemption**

Exemption (c), the privacy exemption, is the most frequently invoked exemption. The language of the exemption limits its application to:

*personnel and medical files or information; also any other materials or data relating to a specifically named individual, the disclosure of which may constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy*\(^{50}\)


\(^{49}\) See *Globe Newspaper Company v. Boston Retirement Board*, 388 Mass. 427, 432-33 (1983) (where the language of a parallel state statute differs in material respects from a previously enacted federal statute, a rejection or expansion of the legal principles embodied in the federal statute may be inferred).

\(^{50}\) G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c).
The privacy exemption is made up of two separate clauses, the first of which exempts personnel and medical files. As a general rule, medical information will always be of a sufficiently personal nature to warrant exemption.\textsuperscript{51}

The Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court determined that exempting personnel information from disclosure serves to protect the government’s ability to function effectively as an employer.\textsuperscript{52} The release of certain personnel information could disrupt the government’s capability to conduct sensitive and careful investigations regarding employees.\textsuperscript{53}

While statutorily exempting personnel information from the expansive definition of public records, the legislature did not explicitly define personnel information.\textsuperscript{54} However, judicial decisions acknowledge that the term is neither rigid, nor exact, and that the determination is case-specific.\textsuperscript{55} The custodian’s classification of materials as “personnel information” is not conclusive.\textsuperscript{56} Instead, the nature or character of the documents, as opposed to the documents’ label, is crucial to the analysis.\textsuperscript{57}

The nature of some materials and the context in which they arise take them beyond what the legislature contemplated when exempting personnel information.\textsuperscript{58}

Generally, personnel information that is useful in making employment decisions regarding an employee is sufficiently personal to be exempt pursuant to the first clause.\textsuperscript{59} Such information may include employment applications, employee work evaluations, disciplinary documentation, and promotion, demotion, or termination information.\textsuperscript{60}


\textsuperscript{52} Wakefield Teacher’s Association v. School Committee of Wakefield, 431 Mass. 792, 802 (2000).

\textsuperscript{53} Id.

\textsuperscript{54} G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c).


\textsuperscript{56} Wakefield Teacher’s Association, 431 Mass. at 798.

\textsuperscript{57} See Worcester Telegram & Gazette Corp., 436 Mass. at 386.


The Appeals Court of Massachusetts distinguished “personnel records” from “internal affairs” records. The Appeals Court held that materials in a police internal affairs investigation are different in kind from the ordinary evaluations, performance assessments and disciplinary determinations encompassed in the public records exemption for personnel files or information.61 The Appeals Court held that officers’ reports, witness interview summaries, and the internal affairs report itself do not fall within the personnel information exemption, as these documents relate to the workings and determinations of the internal affairs process whose quintessential purpose is to inspire public confidence.62

Public employees have a diminished expectation of privacy in matters relating to their public employment.63 Consequently, the public will have greater access to information that relates to an individual’s public employment than to the same individual’s private activities.64 For example, an individual’s public employment salary is a public record, but the source or amount of private income generally is not public information.65

The second clause of the privacy exemption applies to requests for records that implicate privacy interests. Its application is limited to “intimate details of a highly personal nature.”66 Examples of “intimate details of a highly personal nature” include marital status, paternity, substance abuse, government assistance, family disputes and reputation.67 Portions of records containing such information are exempt unless there is a paramount public interest in disclosure.68

When applying the second clause of the exemption to requested records it is necessary to perform a two-step analysis: first, determine whether the information constitutes an “intimate detail” and second, determine whether the public interest in disclosure outweighs the privacy interest associated with disclosure.69 Consequently, the application of the second clause of the exemption must be determined on a case-by-case basis.

**For example:** Can a public employee’s employment application and work evaluation be disclosed?

---

67 Id. at 626 n. 2.
68 *Collector of Lynn*, 377 Mass. at 156.
69 Id.
Under the first clause of Exemption (c), certain personnel records may be withheld, therefore, the records custodian may properly withhold certain employment applications and work evaluations under Exemption (c).

Candidates for state employment must provide prospective employers with written disclosure of any relative who is also a state employee. The content of this disclosure is considered public under the Public Records Law.\textsuperscript{70}

\textbf{For example:} Does Exemption (c) permit resumes of public officials to be withheld from disclosure?

Some of the information contained in a resume may be exempt from disclosure because it relates to a specifically identifiable individual and is the type of information that is useful in making employment decisions. Exemption (c) does not, however, automatically render resumes exempt in their entirety. The statutory exemptions are narrowly construed and are not blanket in nature. The Public Records Law requires a case-by-case analysis of the applicability of its exemptions. Relevant degrees and certifications may be subject to disclosure upon request. Public employees have a diminished expectation of privacy in matters relating to their public employment and the public has a legitimate interest in knowing whether public employees possess the qualifications necessary to perform their jobs.

\textbf{For example:} Are settlement agreements exempt under the Public Records Law?

The public interest in the financial information of a public employee outweighs the privacy interest where the financial compensation in question is drawn on an account held by a government entity and comprised of taxpayer funds. Additionally, the disclosure of the settlement amount would assist the public in monitoring government operations. Therefore, exemptions to the Public Records Law will not operate to allow for the withholding of settlement agreements as a whole. However, portions of the agreements, and related responsive records, may be redacted pursuant to specifically-cited exemptions to the Public Records Law.

\textbf{For example:} Are the names and addresses of customers of a municipally owned utility public?

The analysis is subjective in nature and requires a balancing of the public’s right to know against the relevant privacy interests at stake. The second clause of Exemption (c) applies to “intimate details of a highly personal nature.” Names and addresses of residents of Massachusetts over seventeen years of age are not intimate details of a highly personal nature, because they are available in other venues, such as street lists. Since neither the names nor the

\textsuperscript{70} See G. L. c. 268A, § 6B.
addresses of the customers are intimate details of a highly personal nature, the balancing test between individual’s privacy interests and the public interest in disclosure does not apply.

**Exemption (d) – The Deliberative Process Exemption**

Exemption (d) provides a limited executive privilege for policy development. It applies to:

*inter-agency or intra-agency memoranda or letters relating to policy positions being developed by the agency; but this subclause shall not apply to reasonably completed factual studies or reports on which the development of such policy positions has been or may be based*71

The exemption is intended to avoid release of materials that could taint the deliberative process if prematurely disclosed. Its application is limited to recommendations on legal and policy matters found within an ongoing deliberative process.72 Factual reports which are reasonably complete and inferences which can be drawn from factual investigations, even if labeled as opinions or conclusions, are not exempt as deliberative or policy making materials.73 Only portions of records that possess a deliberative or policymaking character and relate to an ongoing deliberative process are exempt from mandatory disclosure.

*For example:* Is a town’s appraisal report, prepared for the purpose of litigation before the Appellate Tax Board, a public record?

Such a report may contain recommendations to the town. As long as the town is still negotiating a settlement, the deliberative process has not been concluded and the report may be withheld under Exemption (d).

**Exemption (e)**

Exemption (e) allows the withholding of:

*notebooks and other materials prepared by an employee of the commonwealth which are personal to him and not maintained as part of the files of the governmental unit*74

The application of Exemption (e) is limited to records that are work-related but can be characterized as personal to an employee. Materials covered by the exemption include personal reflections on work-related activities and notes

---

71 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(d).
74 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(e).
created by an employee to assist him in preparing reports for other employees or for the files of the governmental entity. The exemption may not be used to withhold any materials that are shared with other employees or are being maintained as part of the files of a governmental unit.75

For example: A requester sought all documents from a government entity related to a particular issue. The responsive records included personal notes of the government entity’s employee. Are these notes public?

Notes are not public if they are personal in nature, kept by the employee merely to assist him in preparing reports, are not shared with anyone in the department and are not maintained as part of the department’s files.

For example: Are handwritten shorthand notes taken by the secretary of a public body a public record?

Such notes are not personal in nature simply because they contain the secretary’s subjective impressions of a board meeting. The notes cannot be considered merely a reference to assist the secretary in fulfilling duties, but rather the notes comprise a government file itself.

Where notes of open meetings have been taken by secretaries, it has been held that the notes are public at the time that they are created. In a sense, the notes are minutes even though not yet approved. Accordingly, Exemption (e) does not provide a basis for withholding of such notes.

Exemption (f) - The Investigatory Exemption

Exemption (f), the investigatory exemption, provides custodians a basis for withholding:

investigatory materials necessarily compiled out of the public view by law enforcement or other investigatory officials the disclosure of which materials would probably so prejudice the possibility of effective law enforcement that such disclosure would not be in the public interest76

The exemption allows investigative officials to withhold materials that could compromise investigative efforts if disclosed. Exemption (f) does not, however, create a blanket exemption for all records that investigative officials create or maintain.77 A records custodian must demonstrate a prejudice to investigative efforts in order to withhold requested materials. Accordingly, a records custodian may withhold any information relating to an ongoing

75 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(e).
76 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(f).
investigation that could potentially alert suspects to the activities of investigative officials.

Records custodians may withhold confidential investigative techniques indefinitely since their disclosure would prejudice future law enforcement efforts.  

The legislature also designed the exemption to allow investigative officials to provide an assurance of confidentiality to private citizens so that they will speak openly about matters under investigation. Any details in witness statements, which if released create a grave risk of directly or indirectly identifying a private citizen who volunteers as a witness are indefinitely exempt.

For example: If a requested incident report contains witness statements, can a police department use Exemption (f) to withhold the requested report in its entirety?

Generally, a police incident report may be released to a requester after the records custodian has redacted the exempt portions from the record, such as, medical information and witness statements. A records custodian may be permitted to withhold an entire report if the identity of witnesses is known to the requester. Such a record could not possibly be redacted in a manner to avoid identification of such witnesses.

Exemption (g)

Exemption (g) applies to:

trade secrets or commercial or financial information voluntarily provided to an agency for use in developing governmental policy and upon a promise of confidentiality; but this subclause shall not apply to information submitted as required by law or as a condition of receiving a governmental contract or other benefit

To properly claim Exemption (g), a custodian must meet all six criteria contained in the exemption: (1) trade secrets or commercial or financial information; (2) voluntarily provided to a government entity; (3) for use in developing government policy; (4) upon an assurance of confidentiality; (5) information not submitted by law; and (6) information not submitted as a


79 Bougas, 371 Mass at 62.


81 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(g).
condition of receiving a governmental benefit. Consequently, this exemption does not apply to information that companies provide to the government in connection with a contract bid or in compliance with a filing requirement.  

For example: Is a Memorandum submitted as an exhibit in a hearing before the Securities Division of the Secretary of the Commonwealth Office a public record?

In this case, the entity did not satisfy all six criteria of Exemption (g). The first criterion was met as the Memorandum contained commercial information. All of the remaining criteria, however, were not met because the Memorandum was not voluntarily submitted, was not provided for use in developing government policy, and was not submitted upon a promise of confidentiality.

Exemption (h)

Exemption (h) serves to protect the integrity of the bidding processes used by the government to procure goods and services by allowing a records custodian to withhold the proposals of early bidders from other interested parties. The exemption allows government officials to review bids and proposals in an insulated environment, but also provides for public review of all evaluative materials once a decision is reached.

Competitive bidding ensures full publicity of the contract and encourages the guarding of the public welfare. Although the competitive bidding process does not have the advantages of more flexible purchasing policies, the legislature has mandated the process to foster honesty and accountability in government. Specifically, Exemption (h) applies to:

proposals and bids to enter into any contract or agreement until the time for the opening of bids in the case of proposals or bids to be opened publicly, and until the time for the receipt of bids or proposals has expired in all other cases; and inter-agency or intra-agency communications made in connection with an evaluation process for reviewing bids or proposals, prior to a decision to enter into negotiations with or to award a contract to, a particular person.

The exemption addresses two types of records held by an awarding authority (records custodian), each with its own time frame. Proposals may be withheld until the time for the receipt of proposals has expired. Bids may be withheld until such time as the bids are publicly opened and read by the awarding authority.

82 Id.
84 Id. at 699.
85 Id. at 701.
86 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(h).
authority. This allows the proposals of early bidders to be kept in confidence so that subsequent bidders do not gain an unfair advantage, thus, keeping all on equal footing. The limitation on the duration of the exemption provides the public with an opportunity to review the rejected proposals to ensure that taxpayer dollars are wisely spent.

The second clause of the exemption is similar to Exemption (d) in its application. It allows government officials to withhold any inter-agency or intra-agency communications regarding the evaluations of the bids or proposals until the records custodian renders a decision to enter into negotiations with the successful bidder or awards the contract.

**For example:** May the records custodian withhold proposal and bid documents until the records custodian has finalized a contract with the construction company or developer?

The first clause of Exemption (h) allows the records custodian to withhold proposals and bids from disclosure until the time for the opening bids or until the time for receipt of proposals has expired. Once that occurs, the proposals and bids no longer fall under the protection of Exemption (h) and can no longer be withheld.

**For example:** May the records custodian withhold any records concerning the evaluations of the bidders and the awarding process, and at what point do the records become public?

The second clause of Exemption (h) allows the records custodian to withhold any inter-agency or intra-agency communications that are made in the process of reviewing the bids and proposals, prior to entering into negotiations with or to award the contract to a particular person. The records custodian may withhold the records pursuant to Exemption (h) only until the contract has been awarded. Once a decision has been made to enter into negotiations the records custodian can no longer withhold the records.

**Exemption (i)**

The purpose of Exemption (i) is to provide governmental entities engaged in the acquisition of real property, either through a purchase or an eminent domain proceeding, the same degree of confidentiality that is afforded to private parties. The exemption ensures that the government will not be at a bargaining disadvantage by allowing the other party to use the Public Records Law to gain access to an appraisal prior to completion of negotiations or litigation. Exemption (i) applies to:

*appraisals of real property acquired or to be acquired until (1) a final*

---

87 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(d); See also discussion of the application of Exemption (d) in the Massachusetts Guide to the Public Records Law.
agreement is entered into; or (2) any litigation relative to such appraisal has been terminated; or (3) the time within which to commence such litigation has expired.\(^8^8\)

Application of Exemption (i) is limited to situations in which a governmental entity is concerned that disclosure of the subject appraisal will compromise its ability to effectively negotiate a fair purchase or sale price for the property. The legislature defined “appraisal” as any written analysis, opinion, or conclusion prepared by a real estate appraiser relating to the nature, quality, value or utility of specified interests in, or aspects of, identified real estate.\(^8^9\)

The language of the statute is clear that the three provisions are alternative rather than requisite conditions. Therefore, once one of the three alternatives has occurred, Exemption (i) will no longer serve as a means to withhold the subject appraisal.

For example: May a housing authority (records custodian) withhold appraisals pursuant to Exemption (i) where the records custodian has entered into a final agreement with the property owner and the property owner has agreed to forgo all possible eminent domain claims against the housing authority?

Once one of the three provisions of the exemption has occurred, Exemption (i) cannot be used to withhold the subject appraisal. In this case, the parties reached a final agreement regarding the property, therefore, the exemption no longer applied and the records custodian could not continue to withhold the appraisals.

For example: Where a requester seeks appraisal documents on a parcel for which a negotiated final settlement has been reached, may the records custodian withhold the appraisals on all the parcels of land being acquired for the project until it reaches final agreement on all the parcels and the litigation on the parcels is finalized?

Exemption (i) is parcel specific and the records custodian is may only withhold an appraisal until an agreement has been reached, litigation relative to the appraisal has been terminated, or the time within which to commence such litigation has expired. In this situation, the appraisal sought by the requester pertained to a parcel that had already been acquired, and the records custodian was ordered to produce the appraisal documents for that specific parcel.\(^9^0\)

---

88 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(i).
89 G. L. c. 112, § 173 (definition of appraisal).
Exemption (j)

Exemption (j) allows records custodians of firearm records to withhold:

the names and addresses of any persons contained in, or referred to in, any applications for any licenses to carry or possess firearms issued pursuant to chapter one hundred and forty or any firearms identification cards issued pursuant to said chapter one hundred and forty and the names and addresses on sales or transfers of any firearms, rifles, shotguns, or machine guns or ammunition therefor, as defined in said chapter one hundred and forty and the names and addresses on said licenses or cards.

The purpose of Exemption (j) is to prevent individuals with devious motives from ascertaining the identities of those who possess firearms. The scope of the exemption is limited to restricting the public disclosure of the name and address of the individual.

Clearly, on its face the exemption does not permit the records custodian to withhold the firearm application or identification card in its entirety. Exemption (j) allows the identifying data, in particular, the name and address of the licensee to be deleted from the record prior to disclosure. It is exceptional that there are both an exemption prohibiting the release of the identity and a separate statute mandating confidentiality of records. This lends credibility to the supposition that the legislature was especially concerned about release of this type of information.

For example: What if the records custodian receives a request for firearm records of a specifically named individual, such as, “I request all gun permits issued to John Smith”?

Here, the records custodian should withhold the entire record, because even if the name and address are redacted, the requester knows with certainty that this particular record pertains to John Smith. It is impossible for the records custodian to protect Mr. Smith’s identity.

For example: Is the records custodian permitted to withhold identifying information, other than name and address, such as a criminal offender record information (C.O.R.I.) or social security numbers?

The records custodian should review all the exemptions in the Public Records Law to see whether one or more of them are applicable, redact the information and claim the proper exemptions. For instance, C.O.R.I. must be redacted.

91 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(j).
92 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(j).
93 G. L. c. 140, §§ 121-131P. (discussing sale of firearms).
94 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26) (exemptions to the Public Records Law).
before disclosing the gun application pursuant to Exemption (a), and social security numbers contained in the application may be withheld pursuant to Exemption (c). Please reference the Appendix of this Guide for other examples of statutes that specifically exempt records from disclosure.

**Exemption (k). Repealed, 1988 Mass Acts 180, § 2.**

Although Exemption (k) was repealed, the legislature retained the substance of the exemption, incorporating the language into another section of the General Laws. It reads: “...[T]hat part of the records of a public library which reveals the identity and intellectual pursuits of a person using such library shall not be a public record as defined by clause Twenty-sixth of section seven of chapter four.”

G. L. c. 78, § 7 operates through Exemption (a) of the Public Records Law to provide a basis for denying access to library circulation records.

**Exemption (l)**

Exemption (l) provides a basis for withholding from disclosure:

*questions and answers, scoring keys and sheets and other materials used to develop, administer or score a test, examination or assessment instrument; provided, however, that such materials are intended to be used for another test, examination or assessment instrument.*

The purpose of Exemption (l) is to prevent individuals from gaining an unfair advantage by using the Public Records Law to access test questions and answers prior to the administration of an examination.

As long as the same materials are used to administer subsequent examinations, the custodian of records may continue to withhold the materials pursuant to Exemption (l). The action to withhold the testing materials ensures that the integrity of future testing is not jeopardized.

**For example:** May a records custodian withhold a copy of a middle school mid-term examination, when the request is made by a parent of one of the school’s students?

Where the school has proven that the test questions administered to this student on this mid-term examination will be used for future examinations, the school may properly withhold the testing materials pursuant to Exemption (l).

---

95 G. L. c. 78, § 7 (discussing Public Libraries).
96 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(a).
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For example: May a records custodian withhold testing materials, when a request is made for all documents related to the issue of discrimination in the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS)?

Pursuant to Exemption (l), the records custodian may properly withhold the test questions and answers, and any other testing materials that are currently used or may be used to administer subsequent MCAS examinations.

Exemption (m)

Exemption (m) applies to:

contracts for hospital or related health care services between (i) any hospital, clinic or other health care facility operated by a unit of state, county or municipal government and (ii) a health maintenance organization arrangement approved under chapter one hundred and seventy-six I, a nonprofit hospital service corporation or medical service corporation organized pursuant to chapter one hundred and seventy-six A and chapter one hundred and seventy-six B, respectively, a health insurance corporation licensed under chapter one hundred and seventy-five or any legal entity that is self insured and provides health care benefits to its employees.

Although Exemption (m) has yet to be interpreted by any Massachusetts court, the language of the exemption is clear. The exemption pertains to contracts for hospital or healthcare services between a government-operated healthcare facility and a health maintenance organization or health insurance corporation.

To properly claim Exemption (m), the records custodian must meet all four criteria contained in the exemption: (1) the record must be a contract; (2) the contract must be for hospital or related health care services; (3) one of the contracting parties must be a government-operated medical facility; and (4) the party providing services must be one of the entities described by the exemption. If the requested record satisfies all of the criteria, the records custodian may withhold the record pursuant to Exemption (m).

For example: May a city or town withhold records pertaining to the health insurance plans and the costs of providing these health insurance benefits to employees of the city or town pursuant to Exemption (m)?

Exemption (m) specifically applies only to records that are contracts for hospital or related health care services. Additionally, one of the contracting parties must be a government operated medical facility, such as a hospital or clinic, and the party providing the services must be one of the entities described by the exemption. The requested records do not satisfy the criteria.

98 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26)(m).
of the exemption; therefore, the list of health insurance plans and the costs of providing these as employee benefits may not be withheld pursuant to Exemption (m).

**Exemption (n)**

Exemption (n) applies to:

*records, including, but not limited to, blueprints, plans, policies, procedures and schematic drawings, which relate to internal layout and structural elements, security measures, emergency preparedness, threat or vulnerability assessments, or any other records relating to the security or safety of persons or buildings, structures, facilities, utilities, transportation, cyber security or other infrastructure located within the commonwealth, the disclosure of which, in the reasonable judgment of the record custodian, subject to review by the supervisor of public records under subsection (c) of section 10 of chapter 66, is likely to jeopardize public safety or cyber security.*

This exemption is intended to secure the safety of persons and public places by restricting access to records that may have been previously open to public inspection. The nature of the exemption requires a records custodian to make some value judgment regarding the requester in order to decide whether to release the information sought.

Making such a value judgment is specifically antithetic to the previously expounded presumptions that all records are public records and all requesters shall be treated uniformly. The legislature was informed in writing of this radical and disparate change in the Public Records Law but chose to retain the language thereby clearly indicating its intent to provide records custodians with the discretion to withhold applicable records.

A records custodian should review a request for such records promptly and completely to gather all facts surrounding the request. The records custodian is not prevented from engaging the requestor in conversation by asking the requester to voluntarily provide additional information in order to reach a "reasonable judgment," but a records custodian may not "require" the requester to provide personal information.

**For example:** If a records custodian discloses a set of blueprints under Exemption (n) to one requestor, must the same blueprints be made available to all subsequent requestors?

This exemption is unique in its application in that the disclosure of records to one requestor does not render the records public to all. If a records custodian

---
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determines that disclosure of the records to a specific requestor would not compromise public safety, the records custodian may then withhold the same records to later requestors if, in the reasonable judgment of the records custodian, release of the records to those subsequent requestors would jeopardize public safety.

**Exemption (o)**

Exemption (o) applies to:

*the home address, personal email address and home telephone number of an employee of the judicial branch, an unelected employee of the general court, an agency, executive office, department, board, commission, bureau, division or authority of the commonwealth, or of a political subdivision thereof or of an authority established by the general court to serve a public purpose, in the custody of a government agency which maintains records identifying persons as falling within those categories; provided that the information may be disclosed to an employee organization under chapter 150E, a nonprofit organization for retired public employees under chapter 180, or a criminal justice agency as defined in section 167 of chapter 6.*

**For example:** Would the address of a government employee found in payroll records be public?

Exemption (o) applies to records that contain the home address, personal email address or telephone number of an employee while identifying the individual as a government employee. Given that payroll records identify an individual as being a government employee while providing the employee’s home address, and possibly telephone number in the same record, the home address and telephone number would be subject to redaction under this exemption.

**Exemption (p)**

Exemption (p) applies to:

*the name, home address, personal email address and home telephone number of a family member of a commonwealth employee, contained in a record in the custody of a government agency which maintains records identifying persons as falling within the categories listed in subclause (o).*

---
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The record must contain an individual’s home address, personal email address or telephone number and identify the individual as being the family member of a Commonwealth employee to be subject to redaction.

**Exemption (q)**

Exemption (q) allows for the withholding of:

*Adoption contact information and indices therefore of the adoption contact registry established by section 31 of chapter 46.*

The registry of vital records and statistics maintains a voluntary adoption contact information registry for the purpose of connecting parents listed on the initial birth certificate to any of their children who were adopted by others. The adoption contact registry contains the addresses and other information supplied by parents and adoptees necessary for one to contact the other. Any contact information contained in the adoption contact registry, as well as indices created from this registry, may be withheld under Exemption (q).

**Exemption (r)**

Exemption (r) applies to:

*Information and records acquired under chapter 18C by the office of the child advocate.*

The records created and received by the Office of the Child Advocate pursuant to Chapter 18C may be withheld under this exemption.

**Exemption (s)**

Exemption (s) applies to:

*Trade secrets or confidential, competitively-sensitive or other proprietary information provided in the course of activities conducted by a governmental body as an energy supplier under a license granted by the department of public utilities pursuant to section 1F of chapter 164, in the course of activities conducted as a municipal aggregator under section 134 of said chapter 164 or in the course of activities conducted by a cooperative consisting of governmental entities organized pursuant to section 136 of said chapter 164, when such governmental body, municipal aggregator or cooperative determines that such disclosure will adversely affect its ability to conduct business.*

---
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in relation to other entities making, selling or distributing electric power and energy; provided, however, that this subclause shall not exempt a public entity from disclosure required of a private entity so licensed. Exemption (s) relates to certain records of public utility providers.

**Exemption (t)**

Exemption (t) applies to:

*statements filed under section 20C of chapter 32*

Members of public retirement boards are required by statute to file a statement of financial interest with the Public Employee Retirement Administration Commission. The statement of financial interest document is exempt from disclosure under Exemption (t).

**Exemption (u)**

Exemption (u) applies to:

*trade secrets or other proprietary information of the University of Massachusetts, including trade secrets or proprietary information provided to the University by research sponsors or private concerns*

This exemption applies to certain records in the possession of the University of Massachusetts.

---
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Attorney-Client Communications and Attorney Work Product

In Suffolk Construction Co., Inc. v. Division of Capital Asset Management (Suffolk), the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court (Court) held that confidential communications between governmental entities and their legal counsel undertaken for the purpose of obtaining legal advice or assistance are protected under the normal rules of the attorney-client privilege.110

The legislature conferred to the Supervisor of Records (Supervisor) the authority to render determinations on the public status of records.111 Additionally, the Court has interpreted the Supervisor’s authority to include issuing decisions on whether records are privileged.112 As a result, the Supervisor has the authority to determine whether records may be withheld as privileged documents. This office will issue determinations regarding this privilege on a case-by-case basis.

Computer Records

The statutory definition of “public records” does not distinguish between paper records and electronically stored information (ESI).113 Rather, the law provides that all information made or received by a public entity, regardless of the manner in which it exists, constitutes “public records.” A records custodian is obliged to furnish copies of non-exempt portions of computerized information at the cost of reproduction, unless otherwise provided by law.

To the extent feasible, RAOs must provide public records to a requester in electronic format unless the record is not available in electronic form or the requester does not have the ability to receive or access the records in electronic format. If feasible, the records should be provided in the requester’s preferred format. In the absence of a preferred format, the records must be provided in a searchable machine-readable form.114

It should be noted, however, that as with paper records a records custodian is not required to create a computer record in response to a request for information. A records custodian is only obliged to provide access to existing files. A records custodian is not required to create a new computer program to provide a requester with computerized information in a desired format. There is, however, an exception to this general rule when the reprogramming is needed to comply with the segregation provision of the law.

112 See id. at 610 (Supervisor may delineate whether documents are privileged or exempted from the Public Records Law).
113 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26).
114 950 CMR 32.04(5).
For example: A request is made for a spreadsheet summarizing expenses for various goods purchased by a government entity.

A records custodian is not obligated to create a new record if such a record does not exist at the time of the request. In this situation, the RAO is only obliged to notify the requester that there is no record responsive to the request. The RAO should also advise the requester of other available documents or files that could be responsive to the request.

The creation of records, including the honoring of prospective requests, is not governed by the Public Records Law; therefore, the RAO is free to negotiate all terms of the arrangement. Consequently, if a requester is willing to pay for the work, the records custodian may create a digital record to respond to the request.

Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

A GIS is a computer system designed to store, capture, analyze and display geographically referenced information. Often, the information that comprises Commonwealth or municipal GIS databases is submitted by private surveyors and engineers who exercise intellectual property rights over nonfactual portions of the materials.

While there are no Massachusetts court cases interpreting this issue, it is clear that the legislature did not carve out specific exemptions from the Massachusetts Public Records Law allowing protected intellectual property in the custody of a governmental entity to be withheld from public dissemination. The Public Records Law does not serve to preempt federal intellectual property law, nor does the Public Records Law exonerate those who violate intellectual property rights validly held by private individuals or governmental entities once the public GIS records have been released. As a precaution, records custodians of GIS records are encouraged to indicate on released GIS records that the information contained in the records may be subject to intellectual property protections.

Given that GIS records are public, the fees a municipal records custodian may assess for access to these records have been statutorily set. GIS records fall under the category of public records that are not susceptible to ordinary means of reproduction, thus, the Public Records Access Regulations provide that the records custodian may assess the actual cost incurred in copying the requested

115 G. L. c. 4, § 7(26) (defining “public records” as materials which have already been “made or received” by a public entity); see also 32 Op. Att’y Gen. 157, 165 (May 18, 1977) (custodian is not obliged to create a record in response to a request for information) (the Public Records Law and Regulations only apply to existing records; consequently, a custodian is free to set any fee for creating a record).
Fees assessed for these records cannot serve as a deterrent for access or as a means of generating revenue.

The Supervisor of Records

A requester who is denied access to any requested information may petition the Supervisor of Records (Supervisor) for a review of the request. The Supervisor will then instruct a staff attorney or another staff member to contact the RAO and requester as needed to ascertain the relevant facts and applicable law. The findings are then reported to the Supervisor to assist in making a decision. The RAO will receive an administrative order if the Supervisor determines that records are being improperly withheld or the proposed fee is excessive. If the records custodian does not comply with an order issued by the Supervisor, the case may be referred to the Office of the Attorney General for enforcement.

---
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