Waiver Request language should be amended to explain the reasoning behind the assertion that the work will not have an adverse effect on the functions and characteristics of the BVW Resource Area and its associated Buffer Zone Resource Area.

The Plymouth Wetlands Protection Regulations Section 10 Part II B 3 requires:
Any proposed work, permitted by the Commission, in a vegetated wetland or within 100 feet of a vegetated wetland shall not destroy any portions of said vegetated wetland, nor shall the proposed work impair in any way the vegetated wetland's ability to perform any of the functions in section 1 above.

Section 10 Part II B 1 states that Vegetated Wetlands are likely to be significant to these Statutory Interests:

- Wildlife (include habitat)
- public or private water supply
- ground water supply, to flood control
- storm damage prevention
- prevention of pollution
- protection of fisheries
- protection of shellfish

The question that must be answered is - How will the proposed project effect each of the Statutory Interests of the BVW?

Explain why the project as proposed will not negatively affect these aspects of the Vegetated Wetland Resource Area. Be specific. Provide detail and specificity as to the reasoning behind the justifications. Do not submit one-word answers or blanket statements such as “there will be no impact”, “None” or “NA.” Failure to provide sufficient detail will result in a recommendation that the Waiver Request is not granted for lack of information or constitute an incomplete response.

Example: “The project as proposed will not have an adverse effect on the wetland’s Wildlife interest because a significant portion, 7,200 sf or 78% of the Buffer Zone Resource Area will be left in its natural state. The Applicant has agreed to a special condition that this area will not be altered beyond what is allowed under this proposal in perpetuity.”